

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	: Martin, et al.
App. No	: 10/763,012
Filed	: January 22, 2004
For	: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMING OF SIMULATIONS OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS
Examiner	: Chuck O. Kendall
Art Unit	: 2192
Conf No.	: 6248

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance mailed with the Notice of Allowability with mailing date of February 8, 2010, Applicants submit the following Comments.

The Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance appears to be directed to the subject matter of Claim 1. Applicant submits – and assumes the Examiner has found – that the prior art also does not teach or fairly suggest the subject matter recited in the other independent claims.

Applicants respectfully object to the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance to the extent it may suggest that a given claim requires limitations that are not explicitly recited therein. Applicants assume the Examiner did not intend to make such a suggestion, but rather followed the Office's common practice of paraphrasing a single independent claim to provide one example of subject matter not disclosed or suggested by the art of record.

**Application No.:** 10/763,012  
**Filing Date:** January 22, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: May 10, 2010

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Michael S. Okamoto  
Registration No. 47,831  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20995  
(310) 551-3450

8524262\_1  
051010